FILED
JAMES BONINI
CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

05 AUG -2 PM 1:58

STANSON R. HEMPHILL, Petitioner. CASE NO. 1:01-CR-39(2)

1:04-CV-351

vs.

.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent.

MOTION FOR A WRIT OF TRANSFER

COMES NOW, Stanson R. Himphill, Petitioner pro se, respectfully files the instant motion for a writ of transfer requesting this Honorable Court to issue a writ for the Petitioner to be transferred to the nearest county detention center to await is schedule evidentiary hearing ordered by this Hon. Court on July 20,2005. As grounds for this motion, Petitioner states:

BACKROUND

This matter is before the court upon Petitioner's motion, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§ 2255, to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence on the ground that his defense counsel was ineffective at trial in violation of Petitioner's Sixth Amendment Right to a fail trial.

On July 20,2005, by an Memoradum and Order, the Honorable Sandra S, Beckwith, Chief Judge, United States District Court, directed July

Duty Magistrate Judge Timothy S. Hogan to appoint counsel to represent Petitioner at an evidentiary hearing schedule approx.

90 days after the Magistrate Judge has appointed counsel in this case.

REASON FOR REQUEST

Petitioner asserts that it would be in his best interest, interest of justice, and the need to meet the case of the government, if he transferred to the nearest detention center from the United States District Court For the Southern District of Ohio os that he can have a fair and full opportunity to consult with his appointed coursel fully as to the issues of this case.

Petitioner believes that time is needed with counsel to discuss the relevant of the factual basis of his witness Robin Hicks's testimony, and the prejudicial impack it had on Petitioner's trial because trial counsel failed to present her testimony.

Petitioner believes that he would be fully prepared to meet the case of the government if he's able to consult with his coursel face to face, and on a day to day basis, however, because the Petitioner is presently incarcerated in Kentucky, Inez., it will be impossable for Petitioner's counsel to traval back and forth from Ohio to Kentucky to visit with Petitioner concerning relevant issues of the case, which could have a graet prejudicial affect on the evidentiary hearing.

CONCLUSSION

Petitioner asserts that he would be in the best position to fair and full opportunity to present his case fully at the evidentiary hearing if some time is allowed by this Honorable Court to consult with his counsel at least for 30 days up until the evidentiary hearing, thus, in the interest of justice, Petitioner request this court to issue a writ to have Petitioner transferred to the nearest county detention center on or about Octomber 1, 2005, to permit time to fully consult with and perpare his case with counsel.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that this Honorable court Grant this motion and issue a writ of transfer to have Petitioner bought to the county detention center on Octomber 1, 2005.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

(Stawson Kuskill

Stanson R. Hemphill, Pro s

#03429-061

U.S.P.Big Sandy Inez, Ky 41224

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that I have mailed via institutional legal mail a copy of the foregoing motion to the United States Attorney Office for the Southern District of Ohio, 221 East Fourth Street, Suite 400. Cincinnati, Ohio,45202, on this ______ day of _______,2005.

DECLARATION

I, Stanson R. Himphill, do state under the penalty of perjury that the abve stated is true and correct to the best of my ability.

DATE: 7.27.05

18/ Lawson Thushill